1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29

30

	. S-17	

SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. S-

AN ORDINANCE approving the awarding of CONTRACT 030117-PPC - KREAGER PARK FITNESS EQUIPMENT IMPROVEMENTS - (\$155,000.00) by the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, by and through its Department of Purchasing and SNIDER RECREATION INC. for the PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA;

SECTION 1. That CONTRACT 030117-PPC - KREAGER PARK FITNESS EQUIPMENT IMPROVEMENTS - (\$155,000.00) between the City of Fort Wayne, by and through its Department of Purchasing and SNIDER RECREATION INC. for the PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT, respectfully for:

purchase of new outdoor fitness equipment and turf surfacing at Kreager Park;

involving a total cost of ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-FIVE THOUSAND AND 00/100 DOLLARS) all as more particularly set forth in said CONTRACT 030117-PPC - KREAGER PARK FITNESS EQUIPMENT IMPROVEMENTS - (\$155,000.00) which is on file in the Office of the Department of Purchasing, and is by reference incorporated herein, made a part hereof, and is hereby in all things ratified, confirmed and approved.

1	
2	SECTION 2. That this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect
3	
4	from and after its passage and any and all necessary approval by the Mayor.
5	
6	
7	
8	Council Member
9	
10	
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
12	
13	
14	Carol Helton, City Attorney
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

ill Hall Kiragin James (Elbyerothia an			# selesius selucije # 5	
Snider		Matrice Comme	91d:	\$155,000.00
	•	•	10	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT 1-10	SCORE 0, 1, 3, 6, 9	EXT. SCORE	COMMENTS
Playground manufacturer's qualifications	7	9	63	
Playground manufacturer's years in business	6	9	54	
Installer's qualifications and experience	7	9	63	
Sales Team's qualifications and experience	7	S	63	
Warranty of all equipment	6	6	36	
Play value of the equipment and layout	8	. 9	72	
Ease of maintenance of materials	7	9	63	
Functionality and appropriateness of surfacing	8	6	48	
Uniqueness of design. Complements surroundings	8	9	72	
Accessibility/Universal Design	8	6	48	
Creativity of layout of equipment	7	9	63	
Completeness & quality of proposal	5	. 9	45	
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:	764	TOTAL		

WEIGHT = How important we feel this selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B stones Column C.

Source: Sh-Sigmo/Cause and Effect Matrix; as amended.

..... programmers.

SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

ill the Kreager Borness Player ound in			ET III (ASSESSED DE SE	
Sinclair	Sinclair			\$155,000.00
	В	e	•	F
EVALUATION CRITERIA	₩EIGHT 1-10	5CORE 0, 1, 3, 6, 9	EXT. SCORE	COMMENTS
Playground manufacturer's qualifications	7	9	63	
Playground manufacturer's years in business	5	9	54	
installer's qualifications and experience	7	9	63	
Sales Team's qualifications and experience	7	9	63	
Warranty of all equipment	6	6	36	
Play value of the equipment and layout	ŧ	3	72	
Ease of maintenance of materials	7	6	42	
Functionality and appropriateness of surfacing	8	6	48	
Uniqueness of design, Complements surroundings	8	6	48	
Accessibility/Universal Design	8	8	48	
Creativity of layout of equipment	7	9	. 63	
Completeness & quality of proposal	5	9	45	
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE	764	TOTAL		14 15 10 1849

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 30. I = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column 8 times Column 6.

Source: Six-Sigma/Couse and Effect Matrix; as amended.

SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

Beriji Hiliste agere in in	Splayer	ounder a		MEDICAL SAFETANIA
Countryside			Bīd:	\$152,851.50
	B		9	
EVALUATION CRETERIA	WEISHT 1-10	SCORE 0, 1, 3, 6, 9	EXT. SCORE	COMINIENTS
Playground manufacturer's qualifications	7	9	63	
Playground manufacturer's years in business	ñ	9	54	
installer's qualifications and experience	7	9	63	
Sales Team's qualifications and experience	7	9	6 3	
Warranty of all equipment	5	6	35	
Play value of the equipment and layout	8	9	72	
Ease of maintenance of materials	7	6	42	
Functionality and appropriateness of surfacing	8	6	48	
Uniqueness of design, Complements surroundings	8	6	48	
Accessibility/Universal Design	8	6	48	
Creativity of layout of equipment	7	6	42	
Completeness & quality of proposal	5	9	45	
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE	764	TOTAL:		

WEIGHT = How important we fee! this Selection Criteria is to the position.

Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE » How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 5 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column & times Column C

Source: Stx-Sigmo/Cause and Effect Matrix; as amended.

SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

HELP STORESCOLUTIONS PARK TO MAKE			新线 器	6 Hall 55 G0000 HTML	
Kompan			Bid: \$147,211.77		
			1		
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT 1-10	\$CORE 0, 1, 3, 6, 9	EXT. SCORE	COMIMENTS	
Playground manufacturer's qualifications	7	9	53		
Playground manufacturer's years in business	5	9.	54	3000	
installer's qualifications and experience	7	9	63		
Soles Team's qualifications and experience	7	9	63		
Warranty of all equipment	6	. 6	36		
Play value of the equipment and layout	8	. 6	48		
Ease of maintenance of materials	7	9	63		
Functionality and appropriateness of surfacing	8	6	48		
Uniqueness of design, Complements surroundings	8	3	24		
Accessibility/Universal Design	8	Ę	48		
Creativity of layout of equipment	7	- 5	42		
Completeness & quality of proposal	5	. 9	45		
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE		TOTAL		es es an encir poly es es e	

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position. Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High. values range from 1 through 10. 1 = 1,00%, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zeroc Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

Source: Six-Sigma/Cause and Effect Matrix; as amended.

SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF			Para para	
Playworld	resources minist		Bid:	\$154,775.00
	8	c	6	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT 1-10	SCORE 0, 1, 3, 6, 9	EXT. SCORE	COMMENTS
Playground manufacturer's qualifications	7	9	53	
Playground manufacturer's years in business	6	9	54	
installer's qualifications and experience	7	9	63	
Sales Team's qualifications and experience	7	9	63	
Warranty of all equipment	6	6	36	
Play value of the equipment and layout	8	5	49	
Ease of maintenance of materials	7	6	42	
Functionality and appropriateness of surfacing	8	6	48	
Uniqueness of design, Complements surroundings	8	3	24	
Accessibility/Universal Design	8	6	48	
Creativity of layout of equipment	7	3	21.	
Completeness & quality of proposal	5	9	45	
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE	778 1778	TOTAL		

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position. Values range from 1 through 10, 1 = Love, 10 = High. SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 = Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent,

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

Source: Six-Sigma/Couse and Effect Matrix; as amended.

SELECTION CRITERIA EVALUATION FORM

LEUS Kreanen Frinc	a Player	dunid Hill		
			Bīd:	
	В	C	Ó	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT	SCORE 0, 1, 3, 6, 9	EXT. SCORE	COMMENTS
Playground manufacturer's qualifications	7			
Playground manufacturer's years in business	6			
Installer's qualifications and experience	7			
Sales Teom's qualifications and experience	7			
Warranty of all equipment	6			
Play value of the equipment and layout	В			
Ease of maintenance of materials	7			
Functionality and appropriateness of surfacing	8			
Uniqueness of design, Complements surroundings	8			
Accessibility/Universal Design	8			
Creativity of layout of equipment	7			
Completeness & quality of proposal	5			
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE SCORE:		TOTAL		

WEIGHT = How important we feel this Selection Criteria is to the position. Values range from 1 through 10. 1 = Low, 10 = High.

SCORE = How well this proposal meets the Selection Criteria.

Zero: Does not meet criteria, 1 * Low, 3 = Fair, 6 = Good, 9 = Excellent.

EXT. SCORE = Column B times Column C

Source: 5jx-Sigma/Cause and Effect Matrix; as amended.

MEMORANDUM

To:

City Council Members, City of Fort Wayne

From:

Steve Schuhmacher

CC:

File

Subject:

Council Approval of Contract for Kreager Park

Fitness Equipment Improvements.

Date:

December 6, 2017



The Fort Wayne Parks and Recreation Department is requesting approval for a contract with Snider Recreation Inc. The contract is for new outdoor Fitness equipment and turf surfacing at Kreager Park.

Please review the attached digest for more information.

I will be available at the Council meetings to answer any questions you may have and I may also be reached at 427-6407.

Thank you for your consideration and support

COUNCIL DIGEST SHEET

Enclosed with this introduction form is a tab sheet and related material from the vendor(s) who submitted bid(s). Purchasing Department is providing this information to Council as an overview of this award.

RFPs & BIDS

BidRh #	NJPA Contract 030117-PPC
Awarded 1 e	Snider Recreation Inc.
Amount	\$155,000.00
Bid/RHP# Awarded 1 o Awarded 1 o Amount Conflict of interest on file? Number of Registrants Number of Biddets Required Attachments	X Yes □ No
Number of Registrants	5
Number of Bidders	5
Required Attachments	Bid Tabulation

EXTENSIONS

Date Las Bid Onia	N/A
#Extensions Granted	0
To Date	

SPECIAL PROCUREMENT

and the second s					
State Feder					
The state of the s					
Commercial Contraction of the Co					
- Constant to other protection of the	23E				
- Commattini ity-ineliteati	30				
ECOMPANDO EN SUBSEMENTO	Odia				
Compatibility Justification	001	 	 	 	
ECOMPANDO EN SUBSEMENTO	902	 	 	 	

BID CRITERIA (Take Buy Indiana requirements into consideration.)

Most-Responsible Responsive Lowest	Yes
If not lowest, explain	They had the most Fitness equipment for our budget of \$155,000 and best value for the amount of equipment included. Some pieces are also accessible.

COUNCIL DIGEST SHEET

COST COMPARISON	
Ingrease/decrease amount	n/a
from prior years	
Etir annual purchase	
(if available)	
DESCRIPTION OF PRO	OJECT / NEED
Identify need for project &	We need to provide fitness equipment to the community including accessible
describe project, attach	equipment.
supporting documents as	
- necessary.	
REQUEST FOR PRIOR	APPROVAL
Provide justification if	n/a
prior approval is being	
requested	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·
FUNDING SOURCE	
FUNDING SOURCE	ark bond